

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/236,339	01/25/1999	SEIICHI KASHIWABA	865.4327	1626	
5514	7590 04/18/2003				
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			EXAMINER		
	ELLER PLAZA C, NY 10112	NGUYEN, THONG Q			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2872		
			DATE MAILED: 04/18/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<b>—</b>	Application N .	Applicant(s)				
	Advisory Action	09/236,339	KASHIWABA ET AL.				
1		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Thong Q. Nguyen	2872				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
	THE REPLY FILED 26 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
	a) The period for reply expires 6_months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
	1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>27 December 2002</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
	(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
١	(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
	(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.							
	4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 19 and 20 would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
	5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
	6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
	7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
	Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> .						
	Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .						
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3, 6-11 and 19-22</u> .						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
	8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
	9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  10. Other: Thouga. Nguyen						
			Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2872	\			
U.	S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 009/236,339



Applicati n No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the newly-added material to the claims 1 and 11, i.e., the features relating to the preventation characteristic of the deformation restricting member, raise new issue that require further consideration and search.

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 1) the objection to the drawings; 2) the rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph; and 3) the double patenting objections to claims 21 -22 which objections/rejections were set forth in the Office action (Paper No. 24).

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant has absed on the newly-added material to the claims to argue the rejection(s).